| 1 | BEFORE THE | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION | | | | |
| 3 | COUNTY OF LASALLE, ILLINOIS) DOCKET NO.) 11-0085 | | | | |
| 4 |) | | | | |
| 5 | Petition for Approval of a 9-1-1) Emergency Telephone System.) | | | | |
| 6 | Springfield, Illinois | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | Wednesday, March 23, 2011 | | | | |
| 9 | Met, pursuant to notice, at 1:00 p.m. | | | | |
| 10 | BEFORE: | | | | |
| 11 | MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge | | | | |
| 12 | APPEARANCES: | | | | |
| 13 | MS. STACY ROSS Telecommunications Division | | | | |
| 14 | Illinois Commerce Commission 9-1-1 Program | | | | |
| 15 | 527 East Capitol Avenue Springfield, Illinois 62701 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | (Appearing on behalf of Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission) | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter | | | | |
| 22 | CSR #084-002710 | | | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: (Continued) |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. VENANCIO ESCUTIA Frontier Communications Project Manager |
| 3 | |
| 4 | MR. RICK KNIGHTS Consultant for LaSalle County ETSB |
| 5 | MR. CURT YASM 9-1-1 Director for LaSalle County |
| 6 | |
| 7 | SHERIFF THOMAS TEMPLETON Chairman of the LaSalle County ETSB |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |

| 1 | | I N D E X | | |
|----|---------|---------------------------|-------------|----------|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | WITNESS | <u>DIRECT</u> <u>CROS</u> | SS REDIRECT | RECROSS |
| 4 | (None) | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | <u>EXHIBITS</u> | | |
| 15 | (None) | | MARKED | ADMITTED |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |

1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
- 3 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 4 Number 11-0085. This docket concerns the petition of
- 5 LaSalle County seeking approval of a 9-1-1 Emergency
- 6 Telephone Number System.
- 7 May I have the appearances for the
- 8 record, please?
- 9 MS. ROSS: Stacy Ross, Telecommunications
- 10 Division, Illinois Commerce Commission, 9-1-1
- 11 Program, 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield,
- 12 Illinois.
- 13 MR. ESCUTIA: Venancio Escutia. It's easier
- 14 Benny. Frontier Communications Project Manager.
- JUDGE JONES: Could you spell your last name,
- 16 please?
- 17 MR. ESCUTIA: It is E as in Edward, S as in
- 18 Sam, C as in Cat, U as in Umbrella, T as in Tom, I
- 19 as in Ivan, A as in Apple. I can spell my official
- 20 name, too, if you would like, V as in Victor,
- 21 E-N-A-N-C-I-O, also known as Benny, if it is easier.
- MR. KNIGHTS: Rick Knights. I am the

- 1 consultant for LaSalle County ETSB.
- 2 MR. YASM: Curt Yasm, C-U-R-T, Y-A-S-M, last
- 3 name spelling. I am 9-1-1 Director for LaSalle
- 4 County.
- 5 MR. TEMPLETON: And I am Tom Templeton,
- 6 T-E-M-P-L-E-T-O-N. I am the sheriff of LaSalle
- 7 County and Chairman of the ETSB.
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. Let the record
- 9 reflect there are others wishing to enter an
- 10 appearance.
- 11 Are there any preliminary matters or
- any questions about the process today?
- 13 Moving on then, I do have one
- 14 clarifying question for one of you gentlemen and
- obviously I will be asking you for a recommendation
- 16 later, Ms. Ross. I will go ahead and swear in those
- 17 who might be offering testimony today. So, is there
- 18 any particular lead person?
- 19 (Whereupon the witnesses were
- 20 duly sworn by Judge Albers.)
- 21 JUDGE ALBERS: I guess I will go ahead and ask
- 22 my first question here, just to get that out of the

- 1 way. I understand that some of the municipalities in
- 2 LaSalle County already had or have 9-1-1 systems.
- 3 Will they continue to be independent or are they
- 4 integrated into the countywide system?
- 5 MR. KNIGHTS: There are eight separate ETSBs
- 6 now, and at this point they are all remaining
- 7 independent. So the County is the ninth ETSB.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Are there -- oh, my gosh,
- 9 the phrase has slipped my mind.
- 10 MR. KNIGHTS: No man's land?
- 11 JUDGE ALBERS: No reciprocal, assistance
- 12 agreements. I can't think of the phrase.
- 13 MR. KNIGHTS: We have agreements with all the
- 14 emergency service providers in the county. There are
- 15 not -- the only reciprocal agreement would be with
- 16 Mendota who is serving as the back-up.
- 17 JUDGE ALBERS: Just your back-up, okay. That's
- 18 was what I was wondering. Thank you.
- 19 And, Ms. Ross, did you have any
- 20 questions?
- 21 MS. ROSS: I was -- there was just one question
- 22 I have for the County here. If you remember we had

- 1 Ladd Fire District. Did you ever get an agreement
- 2 planned with them?
- 3 MR. KNIGHTS: We have not.
- 4 MS. ROSS: And you don't anticipate getting
- 5 one?
- 6 MR. KNIGHTS: We have sent them a registered
- 7 letter. It's been signed and picked up. We included
- 8 it in the package. We cannot get any response from
- 9 Ladd.
- 10 MS. ROSS: So at this time they are on the
- 11 adjacent agency list in here. And since there is no
- 12 agreement, I would kind of consider that shouldn't be
- 13 on the list. And if at a later date you do sign an
- 14 agreement, that would just be something you would
- 15 file with us, just like with the annual filing.
- 16 So I guess we should just make a note
- 17 on that one exhibit that the Ladd Fire District is
- 18 not an adjacent agency.
- 19 JUDGE ALBERS: Is that L-A-D-D?
- MS. ROSS: L-A-D-D, uh-huh.
- 21 MR. ESCUTIA: So Ladd would be removed from the
- 22 list?

- 1 MS. ROSS: Right.
- MR. KNIGHTS: That's on, what, 14?
- 3 MS. ROSS: I believe, yeah. In the application
- 4 it was Exhibit 14, under Tab 14, actually.
- 5 And also just a quick clarifying
- 6 question, Rick. Do you have the agreements for the
- 7 opt-ins and opt-outs that are going on?
- 8 MR. KNIGHTS: Yes.
- 9 MS. ROSS: And there is one with Putnam County
- 10 and it has to do with the residents that are being
- 11 opted out from Granville to Putnam?
- MR. KNIGHTS: Yes.
- 13 MS. ROSS: And do you have these -- I know it
- is on the other thing that you have. There are these
- 15 couple that are highlighted. I see the two are the
- ones that you are opting out, but then these ones are
- 17 highlighted.
- 18 MR. KNIGHTS: Right.
- MS. ROSS: It says those two are Putnam County
- 20 residents. Does Putnam already handle those
- 21 residents?
- MR. KNIGHTS: They should have been, but they

- 1 weren't included in their ALI data base, even though
- 2 they were --
- 3 MS. ROSS: So those people, were they being
- 4 answered by Putnam or not?
- 5 MR. KNIGHTS: I don't believe so. I believe
- 6 they were being sent to the seven digit at LaSalle
- 7 County by mistake.
- 8 MS. ROSS: All right. That's basically all I
- 9 need.
- 10 See, there is both Marshall and Putnam
- 11 are taking some customers from LaSalle, just for ease
- of doing business, and we are expecting modifications
- 13 from both of those. Marshall has filed one and
- 14 Putnam's is coming in shortly. So until those get
- approved, those customers can't be sent to those
- 16 counties yet. So it is going to be kind of, as far
- 17 as that goes, conditional upon those two Orders
- 18 getting approved.
- 19 JUDGE ALBERS: Would Staff want to hold off on
- 20 Commission action on this docket until the other two
- 21 are addressed?
- 22 MS. ROSS: No, not necessarily. It could be

- 1 that we go ahead and approve it, and we wouldn't
- 2 allow the cutover to actually occur until we have the
- 3 Orders from those two.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. So you don't see any
- 5 impediment to a Commission Order in this docket?
- 6 MS. ROSS: No, not at all.
- 7 MR. ESCUTIA: Which are those again that are
- 8 still pending?
- 9 MS. ROSS: Marshall County.
- 10 MR. ESCUTIA: You haven't received it or do you
- 11 have it?
- MS. ROSS: I have their filing. I filed it
- 13 yesterday. And if you want the docket number, it is
- 14 11-0278. I have seen a draft of Putnam County's that
- 15 had a couple things to change that should be coming
- in. And, yeah, as far as Mendota, you said Mendota
- is going to be -- you are going to be back-up for
- 18 Mendota eventually?
- MR. KNIGHTS: Yes, eventually.
- 20 MS. ROSS: So eventually they will have to do a
- 21 filing, but that doesn't affect the cutover right
- 22 now.

- 1 MR. KNIGHTS: We figured that we couldn't file
- 2 that changeover until this was actually an
- 3 approved --
- 4 MS. ROSS: Right, because they couldn't send
- 5 their calls if it wasn't ready to go, obviously.
- 6 MR. ESCUTIA: Just to confirm, they will be
- 7 backing each other up.
- 8 MR. KNIGHTS: Eventually. Right now Mendota is
- 9 still being backed up by Streator.
- 10 MS. ROSS: That's the only questions I have for
- 11 the actual county. I have a couple questions for
- 12 Benny.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Do you want me to go ahead and
- 14 swear him in then?
- MS. ROSS: Oh, you didn't swear him.
- 16 (Whereupon the witness was duly
- sworn by Judge Albers.)
- 18 MS. ROSS: This is just about the data base.
- 19 Do you have the current error ratio for the data
- 20 base?
- 21 MR. ESCUTIA: From the last meeting we had, the
- 22 current data base error ratio is 97 percent.

- 1 MS. ROSS: So we are getting close to being
- 2 complete?
- 3 MR. ESCUTIA: Correct.
- 4 MS. ROSS: And you won't be cutting them over
- 5 until they are one percent or less?
- 6 MR. ESCUTIA: Correct.
- 7 MS. ROSS: And do you have any idea, any
- 8 estimate, of when you think feasibility you might be
- 9 online, the target date?
- 10 MR. ESCUTIA: It all depends on the testing.
- 11 Obviously, as you are aware there is 40 percent
- 12 access use testing for each resident, each exchange.
- 13 The time frame that we are trying to aim for was
- 14 within three months, correct, to try to get the
- 15 testing done.
- 16 MR. KNIGHTS: We will probably start testing
- 17 next week, pending approval.
- 18 MR. ESCUTIA: Obviously, if the county -- if
- 19 they are getting a lot of cooperation from their
- 20 residents, it could be done quicker. But just from
- 21 past experiences, I think -- and especially the
- 22 sheriff indicated they have a big area they need to

- 1 cover and they need tests.
- 2 MS. ROSS: Uh-huh. I was just kind of looking
- 3 for a ballpark.
- 4 MR. ESCUTIA: I think three months is somewhat
- of a good estimate. It is just an estimate right
- 6 now.
- 7 MS. ROSS: Okay. That's all I have.
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: And then do the petitioners have
- 9 any exhibits they want admitted or is everything
- 10 already included in the initial filing?
- 11 MR. KNIGHTS: I think we have everything
- included in the application.
- 13 JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine. Ms. Ross, did you
- have any exhibits you wanted moved?
- MS. ROSS: No.
- 16 JUDGE ALBERS: Would you like to give a
- 17 recommendation?
- MS. ROSS: Sure. Staff has reviewed the
- 19 application and finds everything meets all the
- 20 requirements of Part 725 and sees no reason why the
- 21 application shouldn't be granted.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Subject to the removal of the

- 1 Ladd Fire Department from an adjacent agency?
- 2 MS. ROSS: Okay with that.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I mean, do you want the Order to
- 4 spell it out?
- 5 MS. ROSS: No, it doesn't need to be specified.
- 6 It is just a removal of something from an exhibit.
- 7 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. I don't think there
- 8 is anything else for the record today, unless any of
- 9 you folks have something you want to mention.
- 10 MR. ESCUTIA: No, I am good right now.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Well, if that's the
- 12 case then, I don't think there is any reason to leave
- 13 the record open, so I will mark the record heard and
- 14 taken.
- 15 HEARD AND TAKEN

16

17

18

19

20

21

22